REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks herewith, which place the application into condition for allowance. The present amendment is being made to facilitate prosecution of the application.

I. IN-PERSON INTERVIEW

Applicants' representative thanks the Examiner for granting the interview on April 2, 2009. The Examiner noted the Rule 131 Declaration showed conception prior to the critical date of the Ramanathan reference and the additional information provided in the amended Declaration appeared to show a reduction to practice. The Examiner noted the Declaration required certain procedural changes as well. The Examiner asserted that prior to allowance the claims would have to be reviewed to assure the Declaration covered the elements of all the independent claims. Finally, the Examiner requested the Applicants to consider narrowing the scope of claim 53.

II. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS

Claims 24-44 are currently pending. Claim 53 is hereby canceled. Claims 26, 35, and 36 are independent. No new matter has been introduced. Support for this amendment is provided throughout the Specification as originally filed.

Changes to the claims are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103, or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of protection to which Applicants are entitled.

- 17 -

III. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 24-44, and 53 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,174,382 to Ramanathan et al. (hereinafter, merely "Ramanathan").

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Ramanathan is not prior art to the present invention because the present invention was conceived and reduced to practice prior to Ramanathan's filing date of April 9, 2002.

Applicants submit herewith an amended Declaration of joint inventors Glen Van Datta and Anthony Mai under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 in support of their contention that their invention was conceived and reduced to practice prior to the priority date of Ramanathan.

As is clear from the Declaration, Anthony Mai, an inventor of the instant application, sent an e-mail to G. Van Datta, the other inventor of this application, with an attachment titled "Multi-Channel Multi-Party Audio Streaming Protocol" ("Protocol"), disclosing the elements of the present invention prior to the Ramanathan filing date of April 9, 2002. The e-mail and attachment thereto are attached to the Declaration as Exhibit A.

The invention was reduced to practice in a computer implementation as evidenced by the Source Code attached to the Declaration as Exhibits B and C. In the Declaration, Mr. Van Datta and Mr. Mai show the correspondence between functions of Exhibits B and C and the elements recited in claim 24 of the present application.

Moreover, Exhibit D includes computer "screen captures" resulting from execution of the source code and algorithms of Exhibit B and Exhibit C in a computer environment. The resulting "screen captures" of Exhibit D were successful, operable, and repeatable. Exhibit D is further evidence that the invention was reduced to practice.

In view of the accompanying Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.131, it is clear the present invention was conceived prior to the filing date of the Ramanathan reference and reduced to practice. Therefore, Ramanathan is not prior art to the present application.

Thus, it is believed that the rejections of claims 24-44 based on Ramanathan are overcome.

Consequently, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of claims 24-44 and 53 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Claims 24-44 are in condition for allowance. In the event the Examiner disagrees with any of statements appearing above with respect to the disclosure in the cited reference, or references, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner specifically indicate those portions of the reference, or references, providing the basis for a contrary view.

Please charge any additional fees that may be needed, and credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

- 19 - 00656658

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that all of the claims in this application are patentable and Applicants respectfully request early passage to issue of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

Attorneys for Applicants

By:

Paul A. Levy Reg. No. 45,748

(212) 588-0800